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Overview: Rocky Mountain Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center

The mission of the Rocky Mountain MIRECC is to study suicide with the goal of reducing suicidal ideation and behaviors in the Veteran population. Towards this end, the work of the Rocky Mountain MIRECC is focused on promising clinical interventions, as well as the cognitive and neurobiological underpinnings of suicidal thoughts and behaviors that may lead to innovative prevention strategies. The RM MIRECC website is a resource regarding current and past efforts: https://www.mirecc.va.gov/visn19/.

The Rocky Mountain (RM) Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC) for Suicide Prevention was awarded in 2004, and includes two sites, Denver and Salt Lake City (SLC). The work of the RM MIRECC is focused on promising clinical interventions and the public health model for suicide prevention, as well as increasing understanding regarding the cognitive and neurobiological underpinnings of suicidal thoughts and behaviors that may lead to innovative prevention strategies. The RM MIRECC website is a resource regarding current and past efforts: https://www.mirecc.va.gov/visn19/.

The organizational structure of the RM MIRECC maximizes the potential for communication and scientific interaction with a focus on rapid translation of research findings to clinical implementation. In addition to all Center staff/research meetings, the members of the leadership team regularly meet regarding: 1) system structure; 2) methods development; 3) research oversight; and 4) study findings. These interactions provide consistent opportunities to exchange ideas and data, and discuss strengths and challenges associated with the existing infrastructure, as well as strategies for improvement. More detailed descriptions of the cores can be found in the section entitled Center Structure.

Lisa A. Brenner, Ph.D., is the Director of the RM MIRECC and Deborah Yurgelun-Todd, Ph.D., is the Associate Director. Both sites are provided with support from the Research (Nazanin H. Bahraini, Ph.D., Director), Education (Samantha Hack, Ph.D., Director), Clinical (Bridget B. Matarazzo, Psy.D., Director), Training (Ryan Holliday, Ph.D., Director), Data and Statistical (Jeri E. Forster, Ph.D., Director), and Administrative (Chrissy Karras) Cores.

Figure 1. RM MIRECC Core Structure
Scientific Background & Rationale

Suicide prevention is a top clinical priority in the VA. There are approximately 20 million Veterans living in the U.S., with approximately 17.2 Veteran deaths by suicide per day in 2019. In alignment with the VA National Strategy for Preventing Veteran Suicide (2018-2028), which underscores the need for urgency and collaboration, members of the RM MIRECC are working to promote Veteran wellness, provide training to clinician and community providers, and promote suicide prevention activities, education, and research. This includes developing and evaluating innovative assessment strategies, as well as upstream and downstream interventions.

To facilitate scientific efforts, the RM MIRECC has also adopted an adapted version of the National Institute of Health (NIH) operational phases of translational research, which range from T0 (basic and applied science research/pre-clinical and animal studies) to T4 (true benefit to society – translation to community). Using this framework facilitates evaluation of significant suicide-related variables and behaviors from diverse domains including clinical, familial, social, and biological perspectives, and addresses previous limitations in conceptualizing suicide risk based on a single dimension. This approach holds promise for accelerating the scholarship of discovery, while creating a fast track for implementing new findings.

Figure 2. Adapted NIH Conceptual Framework: Operational Phases of Translational Research
Center Structure

As noted above, the RM MIRECC is co-located in Denver and SLC. The Director, Lisa Brenner, Ph.D., is located at the Denver site, and oversees activities at both locations. There are 62.79 full time equivalent employees (FTEE) in Denver including the following Core Leadership: Director of Research, Nazanin Bahraini, Ph.D.; Director of Clinical Services, Bridget Matarazzo, Psy.D.; Director of Education, Samantha Hack, Ph.D.; Director of Training, Ryan Holliday, Ph.D.; and Director of the Data and Statistical Core (DASC): Jeri Forster, Ph.D. There are 5.52 FTEE in SLC including the Associate Director, Deborah Yurgelun-Todd, Ph.D., and the Medical Director, Perry Renshaw, M.D. As noted above, across the two sites, staff are organized into five cores: Research, Education (including Training), Clinical, Data and Statistical, and Administrative.

The Research Core: Dr. Nazanin Bahraini is the Director of the Research Core and leads the Research Oversight Committee (ROC). The ROC oversees all research being conducted at the RM MIRECC. This process is facilitated via bi-monthly reviews of proposed projects to ensure both alignment with the Rocky Mountain mission and project feasibility. All approved projects are then reviewed at least annually to ensure safety and appropriate progress towards proposed outcomes. Committee members include representatives from both sites, including Drs. Lisa Brenner, Deborah Yurgelun-Todd, Perry Renshaw, Bridget Matarazzo, Nazanin Bahraini, Jeri Forster, Meredith Mealer, and Ms. Kelly Stearns-Yoder.

Education Core: Dr. Samantha Hack is the Director of the Education Core. The main function of the Education Core is to promote the dissemination and implementation of suicide prevention research and other Center-related work among key stakeholders. This involves disseminating information to end-users across a wide range of settings, such as research conferences, non-VA community facilities, outreach events, the internet (RM MIRECC website: http://www.mirecc.va.gov/visn19/) and Veterans Health Administration facilities. Within the Education Core is training, including the Advanced Psychology Fellowship Program, led by the Director of Training, Ryan Holliday, Ph.D.

Clinical Core: Dr. Bridget Matarazzo is the Director of the Clinical Core. The Clinical Core is an interdisciplinary (psychology, social work and psychiatry) group of providers and support staff with both clinical and research experience. The team has extensive experience in suicide risk assessment and management, and are engaged in clinical practice, implementation, research, and program evaluation/quality improvement. The Clinical Core has experience developing, piloting and testing new suicide prevention interventions, as well as supporting national Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention operational work including REACH VET, the Suicide Risk Management Consultation Program, and VA Risk ID.

Data and Statistical Core (DASC): Dr. Jeri Forster is the Director of the DASC. The DASC functions to facilitate the research and mission of the RM MIRECC. This is achieved through rigorous study design; efficient management of data that ensures its quality and integrity; and statistically sound data analysis and interpretation. DASC members provide research teams with support throughout the life of a study, from inception to publication of final analyses. In order to maximize rigor and productivity, the DASC has created systems for data management and statistical analyses. This requires knowledge and attention to regulatory requirements and emerging technologies. DASC additionally supports the continuing development of DASC core members, RM MIRECC Investigators and resources available within the Center.

The Administrative Core: The Administrative Core consists of an Administrative Office with three Administrative Core assistants. The Core covers three main areas of administrative support to the MIRECC: fiscal, human resources, and operations. In this role, the AO leads the Administrative Core and oversees
budgetary planning, contracting, post-award grants management, and human resources. The Administrative Core oversees all administrative processes, including (but not limited to) distributing and tracking equipment, purchasing, tracking trainings, travel, timekeeping, onboarding, offboarding, and performance reviews.

**Employee Guide**

Link to RM MIRECC Employee Guide: R:\MIRECC Psych\MIRECC Employee Guide

The Employee Guide contains lots of helpful information for navigating the VA and the RM MIRECC, including:

- Links to important websites
- Important RM MIRECC mail groups
- Information about parking at RMR VAMC
- How to set up your VA email
- How to set up your voicemail/using VA phones
- How to reserve exam/interview rooms for seeing patients/participants
- How to map to printers and the R drive
- How to request hospital keys
- How to request annual or sick leave
- And so much more!

The Employee Guide Folder also contains links to other useful RM MIRECC guides/SOPs, including:

- RM MIRECC Training Guide
- RM MIRECC Travel SOP
- RM MIRECC Publications SOP
- RM MIRECC Participant Payment SOP
- RM MIRECC Telework Policy
- RM MIRECC Inclement Weather Policy
- RM MIRECC Emergency Recall Roster
Overview of Fellowship Program

Introduction & Training Philosophy

The mission of the RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program is to train psychologists to become outstanding clinicians and clinical researchers in the high priority area of mental health care for Veterans, with an emphasis on prevention of risky behaviors among Veterans/military service members. The clinical and research focus of the RM MIRECC is the assessment and treatment of individuals with severe psychiatric disorders and/or physical conditions, including combat-related syndromes, who are also at risk for suicide. Assessment of whether optimum treatment provided early reduces the long-term risk of disability and lethality is an area of interest. There is also an emphasis on the accurate evaluation of the interaction between cognition and suicide in individuals with psychiatric diagnoses and/or physical conditions, as well as evidence-based intervention.

The RM MIRECC VA Advanced Fellowship in Mental Illness Research and Treatment is located at the Rocky Mountain Regional VA Medical Center (RMR VAMC), which is part of the Department of Veterans Affairs Eastern Colorado Health Care System (ECHCS) within the VA Rocky Mountain Network (VISN 19).

The current Training Director is Ryan Holliday, Ph.D.

The VA Office of Academic Affiliations offers the VA Advanced Fellowship Program in Mental Illness Research and Treatment at 26 VA sites through MIRECC (Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center), SMITREC (Serious Mental Illness Treatment, Research, and Evaluation Center), NCPTSD (National Center for PTSD), and COEs (Centers of Excellence). The VISN 21 MIRECC serves as the national coordinating center for the program.

MIRECC/CoE VA Advanced Fellowship in Mental Illness Research and Treatment Website:
https://www.mirecc.va.gov/mirecc_fellowship.asp

The RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program is a full-time, two-year program (equating to 2,080 hours per year). In terms of clinical care, fellows engage in mental health service delivery, and assessment and interdisciplinary consultation with high priority populations frequently served at the RMR VAMC. Fellows also interact with a wide range of Veterans through clinically-informed research projects, including a fellow-led project developed in conjunction with their Research Supervisor and Training Director.

Activities related to the RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program described below take place in Denver at the RMR VAMC. SLC has an independent Advanced Fellowship Program.

RMR VAMC is designated as a 1-A (High Complexity) tertiary VA medical facility that is the hub to the integrated health care system that comprises VA ECHCS. Given the range and volume of services offered through VA ECHCS, and in particular the RMR VAMC, Fellows are afforded ample opportunity to work with Veterans from a range of diverse backgrounds, including SES, racial/ethnic, age, cognitive ability, physical ability, military service, military era, health concerns, and mental health diagnoses. As a federal facility, RMR VAMC adheres to infrastructure compliance requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).
RMR VAMC is a major teaching medical facility affiliated with the medical, dental, pharmacy, and nursing schools of the University of Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus, School of Medicine. Medical residency programs are maintained in Medicine and Surgery and their sub-specialties, as well as Psychiatry, Neurology, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Anesthesia, Pathology, Radiology, and Dentistry. This setting affords the scope of patients and services necessary to provide a broad experiential base and varied educational opportunities for the Psychology Postdoctoral Fellows. Members of the RM MIRECC Psychology Fellowship Faculty are most closely tied with the Department of Psychiatry, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus (CU-AMC); some faculty also maintain affiliations with the Departments of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation and Neurology. The three components of academic enterprise- education, research, and clinical psychiatric care - are reflected by the work conducted in the Department of Psychiatry, which is currently headed by C. Neill Epperson, M.D. (https://medschool.cuanschutz.edu/psychiatry/home/meet-the-chair).

CU-AMC Department of Psychiatry Website: https://medschool.cuanschutz.edu/psychiatry

Postdoctoral Fellowship training at the RMR VAMC is a sequential and cumulative process that is graded in complexity. We view the Fellowship years as a period of professional transition, from the more narrowly defined roles and perspectives of the post-graduate student towards the more broadly defined roles and perspectives of a professional psychologist. During these years, we anticipate a number of changes will occur in the Postdoctoral Fellows’ skills, perspectives, and professional identity. The RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program seeks to foster these changes in an organized and systematic way. Fellows arrive at different places in their professional development. Initial discussions with the Training Director about Fellowship goals and objectives allow for the Postdoctoral Fellows to clarify and individually tailor which areas of professional functioning will be a focus for the greatest growth, and which areas will require less intensive emphasis. The training program measures students’ progress over the course of the Fellowship against criteria which are rooted in APA competencies and person-specific goals and objectives agreed upon by Fellows, supervisors, and the Training Director. Fellows receive both structured and informal feedback regarding their progress (the process by which this occurs will be further described in the Evaluation Process section).

It is our expectation that individuals who successfully complete the Fellowship will be prepared to: 1) think critically about and practice advanced evaluation and assessment skills and make sound clinical decisions based upon scholarly literature and best practices for evidence-based therapies and interventions; 2) design and implement research studies aimed at improving clinical care; and 3) become leaders in the practice of Psychology, with an emphasis on suicide prevention.

Training offered within the RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program is consistent with the scientist-practitioner model, which values the integration of science and practice.
Administrative Organization

The RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Training Program is administered by the Training Committee, which is chaired by the Training Director. The RM MIRECC Training Director, Ryan Holliday, Ph.D., is a licensed psychologist who oversees all aspects of the training program including supervision and licensing requirements, the training process, recruitment and selection of Fellows, and administration. Members of the Training Committee include training supervisors who represent each of the core areas of the structure of the RM MIRECC (Clinical, Research, Education, and Data Cores): Bridget B. Matarazzo, Psy.D., Nazanin H. Bahraini, Ph.D., and Jeri E. Forster, Ph.D. The Training Committee meets monthly to review program needs and implementation on whole. Other members of the Training Faculty include: Sean Barnes, Ph.D., Lisa Betthauser, Ph.D., Lauren Borges, Ph.D., Lisa Brenner, Ph.D., Bryann DeBeer, Ph.D., Samantha Hack, Ph.D., Claire Hoffmire, Ph.D., Andrew Hoisington, Ph.D., Adam Kinney, Ph.D., Suzanne McGarity, Ph.D., Lindsey Monteith, Ph.D., Joseph Simonetti, M.D., and Hal Wortzel, M.D. Dr. Holliday readily seeks input from the Training Faculty regarding issues ranging from competencies to individual Fellow performance and leads a monthly Training Supervisors Meeting for the MIRECC faculty who are actively supervising (clinical supervision or clinical research supervision), or those who have or will supervise, trainees. Administrative support is also allocated to the training program.

The Training Director has the following roles: 1) works with Fellows and Faculty to address training goals and outcomes; 2) monitors all evaluations and would become involved if there were any problems with a Fellow or Faculty Member that required mediation to improve performance; 3) handles requests for funding for the Fellowship Program and manages all reporting to the VA Office of Academic Affiliations (OAA); 4) oversees the recruitment and selection of all Psychology Fellows, and 5) communicates with accrediting bodies. In short, the Training Director is responsible for ensuring that the stated goals and objectives for the training program are met and the training resources necessary to accomplish these goals are available.

Fellows are involved in program administration in several ways. After discussing with their clinical and research supervisors, each Fellow meets with the Training Director to discuss their overall training goals. Each Fellow and the Training Director also meet individually on a monthly basis to evaluate overall progress, and strengths and weaknesses of the training program.

Fellows receive formal verbal and written feedback regarding goals/objectives from research/clinical supervisors every six months. Fellows also provide supervisors with formal verbal and written feedback every six months. This allows for clear communication between the supervisors and Fellows regarding goals/objectives and performance. The Training Director reviews all written evaluations. If at any time, specific concerns regarding a Fellow’s performance arise, Faculty Members are instructed to contact the Training Director. Concerns may be further discussed with MIRECC Faculty, members of the Training Committee, and/or the Fellow. At that time, a decision is made regarding whether or not further action is indicated. If deemed necessary, a written remedial plan would be initiated to maximize chances of successful problem resolution and program completion. The series of actions to be initiated if a deficiency or problem in progress were noted is outlined in the Policies and Procedures for a Problematic Postdoctoral Fellow.

The fellowship has been an APA accredited program since 2013. The application for re-accreditation was submitted in 2020. The site recently completed a virtual site visit which occurred: November 30 and December 1, 2022.

Questions related to the program’s accreditation status should be directed to the Commission on Accreditation:
**Minimum Program Requirements**

The following expectations are presented with each Fellow at the beginning of each academic year. The fellow, their supervisors, and the Director of Training attest that they have reviewed these criteria annually, with progress toward these requirements documented in the attestation. They are further reviewed every six months to both ensure progress and to determine additional training goals relevant to the Fellows’ areas of interest.

The following are minimum requirements for successful completion of our program:

1. Accrue sufficient clinical hours for state licensure in Psychology.
2. Participate in research and complete at least one project that directly informs clinical activities.
3. Submission of at least two articles for publication in peer-reviewed journals.
4. Present at two local/national professional conferences/settings (one per year) regarding research/clinical area(s) of interest.
5. Participate in writing at least one grant for research funding.
6. Participate in designated didactics, including the MIRECC VTELs as advised by the host site. In addition, participate in at least 2 Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion focused presentation (1 per year) and present at least once to the Summer Diversity Research Students.
7. Successfully complete clinical and research rotations, as evidenced by formal evaluation with clinical supervisor(s) and clinical research supervisor. This includes completion of requisite hours and scores of 3 or above on all competencies in each year.
8. Maintain a consistently professional and ethical conduct in professional settings throughout the duration of training (via formal evaluation with supervisors and the Training Director).

Finally, our expectations of Fellows (and faculty) extend beyond performance and achievement. We expect Fellows to consistently behave in a fully professional and ethical manner. Fellows are expected to adhere to all relevant RMR VAMC policies regarding the diagnosis, treatment and clinical management of patients and the appropriate conduct of research. Fellows are to ensure patient privacy and confidentiality by adhering to HIPAA guidelines and RMR VAMC policies regarding the secure storage of clinical research data.
Financial Assistance & Resources

Postdoctoral Fellows receive an annual stipend established by VA OAA. Advanced Fellowship stipend information can be found at the following link by first selecting the Denver (VAMC) and the appropriate program year and then scrolling down to the “ASSOCIATED HEALTH (FELLOW)” stipend: [https://vaww.oaa.med.va.gov/DBReports/LocBasedStipends.aspx](https://vaww.oaa.med.va.gov/DBReports/LocBasedStipends.aspx). Fellows accrue 13 days of annual leave and 13 days of sick leave each year (4 hours of annual and 4 hours of sick per pay period), and such leave can be requested throughout the Fellowship. Fellows also have 10 paid Federal holidays during the calendar year. Policies regarding leave accrual and use were established at the national level. The Fellowship Training Director, with input from the MIRECC Training Faculty, will approve Authorized Absence (leave that does not detract from annual leave hours) to encourage Fellows to attend educational and professional advancement seminars, conferences, and other meetings outside RMR VAMC. Fellows are eligible for Federal Employee Health Care Benefits, but are not eligible for Vision, Dental, Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), or paid parental leave benefits.

Within the RM MIRECC each Fellow is allocated a furnished desktop workspace that includes a desktop computer, dual monitors, telephone with individual voicemail, internet and intranet access, and a VA email address. Word-processing, database, slide preparation, and statistical software are readily available, as are treatment rooms, clerical support, and office supplies. Fellows have access to the VA Electronic Medical Library and the CU-AMC Medicine Library (https://library.cuanschutz.edu/). As such, Fellows have access to a wide range of peer-reviewed journals. They are also provided with access to Endnote. Fellows have access to a library of treatment manuals and tests for providing empirically supported treatments and complex neuropsychological and personality assessments. Many of these measures are provided as part of the VA Mental Health Testing Package.
Cultural and Individual Differences & Diversity

The RM MIRECC Faculty is committed to following the APA Multicultural Guidelines: An Ecological Approach to Context, Identity, and Intersectionality (2017)*.

Individual and cultural diversity are issues both Postdoctoral Fellows and Staff need to be sensitive to, particularly in regard to how these differences affect clinical and research practice. MIRECC faculty place special emphasis on the discussion of clinical, ethical, and legal issues related to cross-cultural differences during formal didactic experiences. These issues are further emphasized during supervision. Supervisors assess their supervisees on their awareness of diversity issues and their ability to translate their awareness into their case formulations, interventions, and research designs. Our commitment to training culturally sensitive Postdoctoral Fellows is reflected in the fact that supervisors are asked to comment on Fellows’ strengths and weaknesses in multicultural diversity when assessing skills. Moreover, one of the seven program goals and objectives is related to cultural diversity and individual differences.

The RM MIRECC adheres to nondiscrimination policies and procedures in all employment and patient care activities. Such policies mandate that employees and applicants for employment be treated fairly and equitably without regard to age, race, color, creed, sex, physical or mental handicap, national origin, or sexual orientation. The Psychology training staff within the VA and the RM MIRECC is diverse in training background, theoretical orientation, ethnicity, gender identify, sexual orientation, and clinical approach. In addition, consistent with VA policy, all Fellows and RM MIRECC staff are instructed, if they comfortable, to report their preferred pronouns. This information is reflected on their VA email and their signature. In addition, this information, again if the fellow or staff member feel comfortable, is communicated to patients during clinical care.

Each year, the RM MIRECC Fellowship Program is open and active in seeking a diverse pool of candidates. We recognize that strident recruitment efforts are a necessary but not sufficient for creating and maintaining a diverse scholarship community within our program. Specifically, we recognize that effective retention of diverse staff and trainees requires the creation and maintenance of a welcoming environment that offers respect for the cultural backgrounds of all Fellows and Staff. This facilitates an optimal learning environment for our trainees.


In addition, our program is actively focused on monitoring and improving diversity, inclusion, and equity efforts within our fellowship, and our center more broadly. Specific plans for each initiative, and methods of monitoring these initiatives, are detailed below.

RM MIRECC Clinical and Research Activities, Priorities, and Sensitivity to Diversity in Training, Supervision, and Mentorship

The faculty and staff at the Rocky Mountain (RM) Mental Illness Research, Education and Clinical Center (MIRECC) are diverse in terms of race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, religious/spiritual preference, and/or training background.

The RM MIRECC training faculty place special emphasis on the discussion of clinical, ethical, and legal issues related to differences and awareness during formal didactic experiences, clinical supervision (e.g., case formulations and presentations), competency evaluations (i.e., primary program objective), and research mentorship (e.g., research questions and designs).

Members of the training committee and faculty recognize both the importance and challenge of effectively promoting professional development opportunities that advance awareness and competency of both trainees and faculty/staff on issues of diversity and multiculturalism. As such, a core function of the Training Committee includes ongoing review and consideration of methods for improving the means and methods of professional development opportunities.

It is expected that by the end of each training year, all trainees will have had the opportunity to work with a diverse client population, as well as with Diversity Summer Research Program students (see below and https://www.mirecc.va.gov/visn19/research/diversity.asp). It is also expected that trainees seek out opportunities to challenge their personal biases and expand their multicultural and diversity skillsets. It is an aim and requirement of the training year that all trainees achieve competency in the area of Cultural and Individual Diversity to successfully complete the training program.

Trainees are offered the opportunity to personalize their didactic seminars by attending specialized trainings and/or by interviewing hospital personnel to build upon their previous training and current skillsets (e.g., Women Veterans, Sexual Minority Veteran Care, Research with Multicultural or Diversity Aspects).

RM Fellows are expected to attend seminars where issues related diversity are discussed with varying frequency, and trainee attendance is an expectation set by the training director and reinforced by supervisors/mentors. The seminars consist of elements such as experiential learning, discussion, journal club, assigned readings, and case presentations.

Plan:
1. Issues of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) will be actively discussed during supervision/mentorship and encouraged at all points of the evaluation process. It shall continue to be a requirement of the training year that all trainees achieve competency in the area of Cultural and Individual Diversity in order to complete the training program.

2. Fellows and training faculty/staff will be made aware of and given opportunities to attend local, regional, and national DEI focused trainings activities. This will be made possible by ongoing sharing of information and partnership with the local Mental Health Service DEI Committee, which provides the RMR Medical Center Community with a Monthly DEI Talks, Events, and Resources email. It is also expected that Fellows will attend the National Hubsite Seminar on Diversity.

3. Fellows and training supervisors/mentors will be encouraged to focus on outlining DEI specific training opportunities at the beginning of each training year, which will be reflected in their Individualized Training Plans (ITPs).

4. It is expected that by the end of the training year, all trainees will have had the opportunity to work with a diverse client population, as well as Diversity Summer Research Program students. Thus, it is expected that supervisors/mentors and trainees seek out opportunities to challenge their personal biases and expand their multicultural and diversity skillsets.

5. Trainees will be offered the opportunity to personalize their didactic seminars by attending specialized trainings and/or interviewing hospital personnel to build upon their previous training and current skillsets. This may be used to focus/specialize in the care of specific diversity factors (e.g., Women Veterans, Sexual Minority Veteran Care, Research with Multicultural or Diversity Aspects).
6. The RM MIRECC applied for and received a Diversity Summer Research Program funded via the Office of Research and Development. Through this new paid 10-week Diversity Summer Research Program, Rocky Mountain MIRECC faculty and post-doctoral fellows will teach/mentor aspiring new scientists, practitioners and advocates who reflect the diversity of communities we serve.

**The objectives of the program are:**
- Provide undergraduate students with experiential learning via participation in research activities.
- Reinforce Summer Research Program trainees’ intent to graduate with a science degree.
- Develop knowledge and skills through an established curriculum and dedicated project.
- Prepare Summer Research Program trainees for admission to a 4-year degree or graduate school program, and/or a career in research.

**Evaluation of this component (plan is preliminary and is currently being reviewed and updated):**

1. Fellows will receive, at a minimum, passing scores during each Supervisor evaluation on all components of “Individual and Cultural Diversity” domains.

2. Fellows will attend at least one diversity-related didactic or seminar each year.

3. Fellows will be actively involved in the Diversity Summer Research Program, including presenting to students at least once per summer.

**Recruitment & Retention of Diverse Staff and Fellows**

Each year, the RM MIRECC Fellowship Program actively engages in seeking a diverse pool of candidates. We recognize that strident recruitment efforts are necessary, but not sufficient, for creating and maintaining a diverse scholarship community within our program. Specifically, we recognize that effective retention of diverse staff and trainees requires the creation and maintenance of a welcoming environment that offers respect for the cultural backgrounds of all fellows, faculty, and staff. This facilitates an optimal learning environment for our trainees. We have incorporated efforts to recruit from various entities to broaden our applicant pool, particularly regarding individuals from diverse backgrounds.

The RM MIRECC recruits diverse faculty/staff to be active participants in the Fellowship, either through direct supervision/mentorship of trainees, didactics, and/or program development opportunities. Moreover, there is a specific interest in recruiting individuals who are committed to research focused on issues related to social justice.

**Plan:**

1. This program is also providing additional language on our website and advertisements regarding our commitment to inclusivity as well as a special interest in recruiting individuals who are committed to anti-racist work and other efforts towards systemic change regarding oppression and inequality.

2. On application rating forms, information regarding specific referral sources is captured whenever possible. Information regarding possible diversity status as referenced in application materials is also documented. Each recruitment cycle we discuss opportunities for recruitment and are actively discussing ways in which we can broaden our scope to include applicants from various backgrounds.

3. The training committee is actively exploring ways in which to modify the application review and selection matrix to ensure that diversity of experience and culture is taken into account when considering goodness of fit for candidates.

4. Targeted Recruitment: Advertisement for fellowship applications will be sent to diverse communities.

**Evaluation of this component (plan is preliminary and is currently being reviewed and updated):**

1. At least once a year the Training Director will meet with Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion subject matter experts and/or key stakeholders to review recruitment materials and evaluation forms.
   a. Feedback will be documented and implemented accordingly.

**Professional Development**
The fellowship training program recognizes both the importance and challenge of effectively promoting professional development opportunities that advance awareness and competency both trainees and staff on issues of diversity and multiculturalism.

Plan:
1. The Training Committee will conduct ongoing review and consideration of methods for improving the means and methods of professional development opportunities.
2. To develop cultural competencies, faculty and trainees will be provided with professional development opportunities specifically targeted towards building multicultural competency and are granted authorized leave to attend such trainings. The RM MIRECC will allocate funding to allow for trainees and staff to engage in these professional development opportunities.
3. Faculty is encouraged to participate on various diversity committees or within organizations to remain consistent in providing a supportive and encouraging learning environment with diverse viewpoints.
4. Fellowship program faculty and trainees will be encouraged to play a key role in providing mentorship and training for MIRECC’s Undergraduate Diversity Summer Research Program as an opportunity for professional development that supports not only their own goals for multicultural competency but that of aspiring future professionals.

Evaluation of this component:
1. At least once a year the Training Director will meet with Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion subject matter experts and/or key stakeholders to review current programming and professional development opportunities.
   a. Feedback will be documented and implemented accordingly.
2. Annually, a list of diversity-related committees, organizations, and workgroups that faculty, including fellows, are participating in will be compiled/updated and a summary will be presented on our fellowship website.
3. As previously noted, fellows will be actively involved in the Diversity Summer Research Program, including presenting to students at least once per summer.

Policy
The Fellowship actively complies with ECHCS and VA employment policy that promotes respect for diversity, including regulations specific to EOE, ADA, Government Ethics and local policies that promote acceptance respect for all Veterans, regardless of race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, ethnicity, gender identity, disability, and/or religious preference.

Plan:
1. The program will review all relevant policies and directives on an annual basis to ensure they are updated and relevant. The program will pay specific attention to policies that are unique to DEI. Where gaps and/or irregularities are identified, the program will make efforts to ensure internal policies and SOPs address the diverse needs of the trainees, faculty, and RM MIRECC at large.

Evaluation of this component (plan is preliminary and is currently being reviewed and updated):
1. The Fellowship program will review this diversity plan on a yearly basis and allow fellows, staff, and faculty to recommend changes to ensure it evolves with changing needs as the RM MIRECC strives to remain inclusive in its approach to clinical care, research, and training.
Drug Testing

VA Drug-Free Workplace Program: Guide for Veterans Health Administration (VHA) Health Professions Trainees (HPTs)

In 1986, President Reagan signed Executive Order 12564, Drug-Free Federal Workplace, setting a goal to prevent Federal employee use of illegal drugs, whether on or off duty. In accordance with the Executive Order, VA established a Drug-Free Workplace Program, and aims to create an environment that is safe, healthful, productive and secure.

As you should already know:

- **All** VHA HPTs are exempt from pre-employment drug-testing.
- **Most** VHA HPTs are in testing designated positions (TDPs) and subject to random drug testing.
- **All** VA employees appointed to a TDP (including HPTs) must sign a *Random Drug Testing Notification and Acknowledgement Memo*. The list of exempt positions (NOT TDP) is on the memo (see link below).
- **All** HPTs in TDPs are subject to the following types of drug testing:
  - Random;
  - Reasonable suspicion;
  - Injury, illness, unsafe or unhealthful practice; and
  - Follow-up after completion of a counseling or rehabilitation program for illegal drug use through the VA Employee Assistance Program (EAP).

Here are a few additional points:

- VHA HPTs may receive counseling and rehabilitation assistance through the VA EAP. **Contact the local VHA HR office for more information about EAP.**
- VHA HPTs will be given the opportunity to justify a positive test result by submitting supplemental medical documentation to a Medical Review Officer (MRO) when a confirmed positive test could have resulted from legally prescribed medication.
- Prior to being notified of a drug test, VHA HPTs may avoid disciplinary action by voluntarily identifying themselves to EAP as a user of illegal drugs. Disciplinary action will not be initiated if the HPT fully complies with counseling, rehabilitation and after-care recommended by EAP, and thereafter refrains from using illegal drugs.
  
  **Note:** Self-identification must happen prior to being notified of a drug test. This option is no longer viable once an HPT has been selected for a drug test.

However, be aware that VA will initiate **termination** of VA appointment and/or dismissal from VA rotation against any trainee who:

- Is found to use illegal drugs on the basis of a verified positive drug test (even if a drug is legal in the state where training); or
- Refuses to be drug tested.

We encourage you to share this information with all current and prospective HPTs, so expectations and due process procedures are understood.

**Additional Information and Resources:**

- Drug Free Workplace Program (DFWP) Helpline 1-800-967-5752
• VA, OAA Health Professions Trainee Application Forms webpage and Random Drug Testing Notification and Acknowledgement Memo: https://www.va.gov/oaa/app-forms.asp

• VA Publications: https://www.va.gov/vapubs/
  o VA Handbook 5021, Employee-Management Relations
  o VA Handbook 5383, Drug-Free Workplace Prog
Overview of Learning Experiences

Individualized Training Plan (ITP)

Together with their Clinical Supervisor, Research Supervisor, and the Training Director, each Fellow develops a training plan at the start of their fellowship. Each Fellow's training plan is individually tailored to meet their specific training needs. This training plan serves as the basis for ongoing self-evaluation and guides the supervision discussions that occur between Fellows and their supervisors. This ensures Fellows are tracking their own progress and meeting their own goals.

The training plan identifies areas of strength and weakness and outlines training goals. The training plan should include the Fellow's level of competence at entry in planning for how they will successfully attain the program's exit criteria. To this end, the training plan should include a timeline and milestones for goals related to clinical service (e.g., completion of a rotation), research (e.g., presentations, publications, and grant submission) and encouraged clinical licensure. In supervision, Fellows may identify additional areas of training interests or goals and thus will work with the Training Director and the Training Committee to determine options for incorporating these goals into their training plan. The ITP is updated every six months and is a part of the scheduled evaluation cycle to ensure ongoing review and alignment with training goals and competencies across the 2-year period.
**Supervision**

The RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program uses an observe-engage-lead/supervise training model in which Fellows have ample opportunity to observe different supervisors across an array of settings. Supervisors are expected to be knowledgeable regarding: 1) theories and methods of research and assessment; 2) effective intervention in their areas of expertise; and 3) recent literature. Supervisors demonstrate competence in the practical application of that knowledge via 1) clinical practice, and 2) research publications and successful grant applications. Fellows and supervisors are frequently present at the same staff, research, clinical, and administrative meetings, thereby providing further opportunities for teaching/learning.

Each Postdoctoral Fellow receives supervision at minimum weekly from their Clinical Supervisor (one hour) and Research Supervisor (one hour). Training goals for the year, as part of an individualized training plan, are identified in discussion with the Fellow’s Clinical Supervisor, Research Supervisor and Training Director. Fellows receive at least one hour of individual clinical supervision for every 10 hours of training/clinical services provided. Over the course of their Fellowship, Fellows receive formal supervision and feedback from at least two licensed professionals who provide guidance regarding patient care, consultation, teaching, research, administration, and supervisory activities. Other members of the Training Committee also provide informal feedback, as well as opportunities to collaborate with senior professionals with expertise in the fields of traumatic brain injury, suicide prevention, substance use, PTSD, and serious mental illness (SMI).

All supervisors who provide primary clinical supervision within our program are licensed clinical psychologists who are engaged in service provision within the setting in which they supervise. Licensed clinical psychology supervisors have been trained in evidence-based psychotherapies (EBPs), particularly in EBPs which have been formally rolled out across VHA (e.g., Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Depression, Cognitive-Processing Therapy for PTSD, Prolonged Exposure Therapy for PTSD).

Fellows have access to an appropriate supervisor at all times. Supervisors are available by cell phone at all times. If a supervisor is on leave, another supervisor is identified beforehand, and the Fellow is notified of the change, the duration of the primary supervisor’s leave, and contact information of the substitute supervisor. Fellows do not provide personal contact information to Veterans.

As it stands, the majority, if not all, supervision will occur in-person. This decision is based on several factors, including the benefit of in-person supervision and training when working with high-risk cohorts of Veterans (e.g., those at elevated risk for suicide) as well as current VA policy. Rare instances of virtual supervision will be considered in the instance of a supervisor or supervisee being off-site. Should a more formal scheduling of virtual supervision be requested, this request will be discussed between the supervisee, supervisor, and the Director of Training, Dr. Ryan Holliday. Based on need and contextual factors, this may be approved for a part-time basis (with the majority of supervision continuing to be in-person). In addition, in these instances, formal virtual supervision agreements, based on VA, OAA, and APA requirements, will be completed and documented. Nonetheless, as previously noted, in-person supervision will continue to be the expectation of the program and any deviation will be discussed and formally documented.

RM MIRECC supervisory expectations are outlined in the Supervision Agreement, located here: \r01echhsm02.r01.med.va.gov\research_data\MIRECC Psych\Training Program\6-Advanced Fellowship Psychology\Admin\Evaluations (blank)\Supervision Agreement
Clinical Research

As part of the RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program, Fellows are provided with both hands-on-practical learning opportunities and didactic trainings aimed at assisting them in becoming independent clinical researchers. Practical experience is gained in part by the Fellow working on existing Principal Investigator (PI) initiated and grant-funded projects within the MIRECC. Each fellow works closely with one identified research supervisor for the duration of their fellowship. Fellows may also be able to participate in aspects of other faculty’s projects/programs. For more information on current RM MIRECC research projects, please see the RM MIRECC website at http://www.mirecc.va.gov/visn19/research/.

Research Expectations
Fellows are expected to participate in research and complete at least one project that directly informs clinical activities. Other research-related expectations of the Fellowship include:

1) participate in writing a grant for research funding;
2) submitting at least two articles for publication in peer-reviewed journals;
3) and making two local/national presentations regarding their research/clinical area(s) of interest.

Research Project Presentations
At least one time each year, Fellows will present on their lead project at the RM MIRECC All Staff Research Meeting (aka TTT). This didactic experience is intended to fulfill a number of goals of the RM MIRECC Fellowship Program. Ideally, Fellows will present on the development of the project sometime before or slightly after the project has been submitted for human subjects review. Fellows will also present near the end of their fellowship to provide information regarding outcomes. Specifically, Fellows will be expected to:

1) Design and implement research studies focused in their specialty area and consistent with the MIRECC mission and within their supervisor’s lab;
2) Review scholarly literature related to their specialty area and apply knowledge to research methods and design;
3) Carry out a research project that demonstrates advanced level of knowledge and has the potential to contribute to the field of suicide prevention/treatment.

Presentation Format
The presentations should be prepared using PowerPoint, roughly 45 minutes in length, and organized as follows:
1. Topic Background (state why this is important)
   a. Identify gaps in the literature and how their project attempts to address these gaps
2. Research Objectives
   a. State research questions and /or hypotheses
3. Methods
   a. Participants
   b. Procedures
   c. Measures
4. Implications for clinical practice
   a. Also discuss how proposed study may contribute to the RM MIRECC Mission
5. Results
   a. Fellows will be expected to report interim findings during their second and if applicable, third year of the Fellowship Program
6. Questions for the lab
a. Potential scientific problems/issues to consider
Clinical Services

Fellows are taught to use science in the service of clinical practice. This model is in part achieved via a consistent focus on evidence-based assessment and intervention practices.

Clinical Consultation Services:
All Fellows are required to become members of the RM MIRECC Suicide Prevention Consultation Service, which is co-directed by Hal Wortzel, MD, and Bridget Matarazzo, PsyD. In this role, Fellows receive supervision and experience with providing consultation to mental health clinicians who are working with at risk patients. The model employed by members of the consultation team is highlighted in a published article: Gutierrez, P. M., Brenner, L. A., Olson-Madden, J. H., Breshears, R. E., Homaifar, B. Y., Betthauser, L. M., et al. (2009). Consultation as a means of Veteran suicide prevention. Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 40, 586-592. Our interdisciplinary team is comprised of psychologists, a psychiatrist, and social workers. We receive consultation requests from outpatient, residential, and inpatient providers. We are often asked to provide an in-depth conceptualization of the Veteran’s risk for suicide and offer treatment recommendations. Standard operating procedures and report templates are provided to support Fellows in their work on the consult service. Consult service resources (e.g., SOPs, report templates, measures, etc.) can be found: R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Consult Service.

Additionally, Fellows have the opportunity to observe calls within the context of the national Suicide Risk Management Consultation Program (SRM) which provides consultation to providers both within the VA and in the community who are serving Veterans at risk for suicide. SRM consult calls focus on topics such as conceptualization of suicide risk, documentation questions, suicide risk assessment, postvention (after a suicide loss), among others. Additional information is available on the SRM website: https://www.mirecc.va.gov/visn19/consult/.

Evidence-Based Treatment:
As part of the development of their training plan, Fellows will work with the Training Director to identify if they will obtain experiences with the delivery of evidence-based treatments during their Fellowship. Fellows may provide Veterans with evidence-based treatments (e.g., Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Suicide Prevention, Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, Cognitive Therapy for Depression, Cognitive Processing Therapy). An adequate number of Veterans seek care at the facility to allow for Fellows to gain supervised practice in evidence-based interventions. Individual face-to-face supervision is provided for each case being seen. Fellows are required to audiotape psychotherapy sessions. Faculty listen to the tapes and provide feedback aimed at both improving fidelity to models being used and facilitating the therapeutic process.

Fellows may also facilitate the safety planning group on the psychiatric inpatient unit. Fellows are provided with the opportunity to first observe their Supervisor or a senior Fellow conduct this group. In a manner that is appropriate to the Fellow’s training needs, the Fellow is then given the opportunity to co-facilitate the group with the Supervisor or senior Fellow. This allows the Fellow to provide direct clinical care to a group of Veterans with a variety of presenting concerns and diagnoses, while being supervised in vivo. If the Fellow demonstrates competency conducting the group while being supervised in vivo, the Fellow may eventually lead the safety planning group independently. If there are two Fellows participating concurrently in the safety planning group service and both are considered eligible to conduct the group independently, they may have the opportunity to co-facilitate the group with each other. Face-to-face supervision outside of the group is also provided. As a component of conducting this group on the inpatient unit, the Fellow also interacts with the multi-disciplinary staff (e.g., nurses, psychiatrists, social workers, occupational therapists) of the inpatient unit.
Fellows may also be offered the opportunity to supervise an intern on facilitating the safety planning group if circumstances allow. In this case, supervision of supervision would be provided.

Regarding their clinical work, Fellows receive at least one hour of individual clinical supervision and one hour of group supervision in the form of the weekly RM MIRECC consultation service team meeting. During these meetings specific cases are discussed. Moreover, team members are encouraged to discuss issues ranging from strategies to decrease risk to consultant reactions to working with large numbers of at-risk Veterans. Additional didactic/consultation/supervision opportunities are provided nationally and locally. For example, Fellows are encouraged to attend the Suicide Risk Management Consultation Program lecture series. Recordings of past webinars are available on the SRM website.
Foundational and Functional Competencies/Goals

Successful completion of the Fellowship is predicated upon achievement of foundational and functional competency goals, defined by APA, by the end of the Fellowship. The RM MIRECC Fellowship Program emphasizes continuous evaluation of postdoctoral Fellows’ acquisition of outlined competency goals and objectives, and constructive feedback aimed at improving these skills. Methods may include: 1) live observation of Fellow-client or Fellow-staff interactions; 2) review and co-signature of all clinical written material, such as progress notes or other additions to the computerized patient medical record; 3) observation of Fellow case formulation and case presentation in staffing meetings, treatment planning conferences, and other interdisciplinary settings; 4) review of process notes and audiotape recording of psychotherapy and assessment sessions; 5) review of psychological testing protocols and reports; and/or 6) review of proposed research designs, Institutional Review Board and VA Research and Development paperwork, PowerPoint presentations, grant submissions, statistical analyses, and publications. Supervisors also receive feedback about the Fellows from professionals in other disciplines.

There are 6 competencies (3 foundational and 3 functional; * denotes Level 1 competencies required by APA):

**Foundational Competencies:**
- **Professionalism**
  - Professionalism: as evidenced in behavior and comportment that reflects the values and attitudes of psychology
  - Individual and Cultural Diversity*: Awareness, sensitivity and skills in working professionally with diverse individuals, groups and communities who represent various cultural and personal background and characteristics defined broadly and consistent with APA policy.
  - Ethical Legal Standards and Policy*: Application of ethical concepts and awareness of legal issues regarding professional activities with individuals, groups, and organizations.
  - Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care: Practice conducted with personal and professional self-awareness and reflection; with awareness of competencies; with appropriate self-care.
- **Relational**
  - Relationships: Relate effectively and meaningfully with individuals, groups, and/or communities.
- **Science**
  - Scientific Knowledge and Methods*: Understanding of research, research methodology, techniques of data collection and analysis, biological bases of behavior, cognitive-affective bases of behavior, and development across the lifespan. Respect for scientifically derived knowledge.
  - Research/Evaluation: Generating research that contributes to the professional knowledge base and/or evaluates the effectiveness of various professional activities.

**Functional Competencies:**
- **Application**
  - Evidence-Based Practice: Integration of research and clinical expertise in the context of patient factors.
  - Assessment: Assessment and diagnosis of problems, capabilities and issues associated with individuals, groups and/or organizations.
  - Intervention: Interventions designed to alleviate suffering and to promote health and well-being of individuals, groups, and/or organizations.
- **Consultation:** The ability to provide expert guidance or professional assistance in response to a client’s needs or goals.

- **Education**
  - **Teaching:** Providing instruction, disseminating knowledge, and evaluating acquisition of knowledge and skill in professional psychology.
  - **Supervision:** Supervision and training in the professional knowledge base of enhancing and monitoring the professional functioning of others.

- **Systems**
  - **Interdisciplinary Systems:** Knowledge of key issues and concepts in related disciplines. Identify and interact with professionals in multiple disciplines.
  - **Management-Administration:** Manage the direct delivery of services (DDS) and/or the administration of organizations, programs, or agencies (OPA).
  - **Advocacy:** Actions targeting the impact of social, political, economic or cultural factors to promote change at the individual (client), institutional, and/or systems level.

Information regarding the program’s goals, objectives, competencies, minimal levels of achievement, and methods for measuring outcomes are provided in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #1: Fellows will obtain competence in Professionalism.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective(s) for Goal #1:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionalism Competencies: A) Monitors and independently resolves situations that challenge professional values and integrity; B) Conducts self in a professional manner across settings and situations; C) Independently accepts personal responsibility across settings and contexts; D) Independently acts to safeguard the welfare of others; and E) Displays consolidation of professional identity as a psychologist; demonstrates knowledge about issues central to the field; integrates science and practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual and Cultural Diversity Competencies: A) Independently monitors and applies knowledge of self as a cultural being in assessment, treatment, and consultation; B) Independently monitors and applies knowledge of others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation; C) Independently monitors and applies knowledge of diversity in others as cultural beings in assessment, treatment, and consultation; and D) Applies knowledge, skills, and attitudes regarding dimensions of diversity to professional work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethical and Legal Standards and Policy Competencies: A) Demonstrates advanced knowledge and application of the APA Ethical Principles and Code of Conduct and other relevant ethical, legal and professional standards and guidelines; B) Independently utilizes an ethical decision-making model in professional work; C) Independently integrates ethical and legal standards with all competencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care Competencies: A) Demonstrates reflectivity in context of professional practice (reflection-in-action); acts upon reflection; uses self as a therapeutic tool; B) Accurately self-assesses competence in all competency domains; integrates self-assessment in practice; recognizes limits of knowledge/skills and acts to address them; has extended plan to enhance knowledge/skills; C) Self-monitors issues related to self-care and promptly intervenes when disruptions occur; D) Independently seeks supervision when needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Competencies: Professionalism, Individual and Cultural Diversity, Ethical and Legal Standards and Policy, Reflective Practice/Self-Assessment/Self-Care | How Outcomes are Measured: Review of written materials (e.g., test reports, progress notes); Observation (e.g., conduct in individual and group supervision; conduct in research meetings and other required activities (e.g., Postdoc journal club, Hubsite/Diversity Vtels, etc.); test administration; assessment feedback); Review of audio tapes. |
Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for Expected Competencies: The minimum threshold for achievement of expected competencies is a rating of 3.0 for each year. Although the required score of 3 on each domain would be the same in years 1 and 2, expected competencies in each year would change, with more being expected of fellows in their second year (see evaluation form). Scores of 1 or 2 would signal the need for further discussion and potential remediation. Skills are commensurate with that of someone with someone in independent practice and advanced knowledge of suicide prevention clinical care and research.

Goal #2: Fellows will obtain competence in Relational areas.

Objective(s) for Goal #2:
Relational competencies: A) Develops and maintains effective relationships with a wide range of clients, colleagues, organizations and communities; B) Manages difficult communication; possesses advanced interpersonal skills; and C) Verbal, nonverbal, and written communications are informative, articulate, succinct, sophisticated, and well-integrated; demonstrates thorough grasp of professional language and concepts.

Competencies Expected: Relationships

How Outcomes are Measured: Review of written materials (e.g., test reports, progress notes); Observation (e.g., team meetings)

Goal #3: Science

Objective(s) for Goal #3:
Scientific Knowledge and Methods Competencies: A) Independently applies scientific methods to practice; B) Demonstrates advanced level knowledge of core science (i.e., scientific bases of behavior); C) Independently applies knowledge and understanding of scientific foundations to practice
Research/Evaluation Competencies: A) Generates knowledge; B) Applies scientific methods of evaluating practices, interventions, and programs; C) Completes a clinically-driven research project in Fellow’s specialty/interest area; D) Submits at least two manuscripts to a peer reviewed journal (one in area of interest); E) Submits at least 1 grant; and F) Makes at least 2 presentations at conferences in addition to presenting their primary project at TTT.

Competencies Expected: Scientific Knowledge and Methods, Research/Evaluation

How Outcomes are Measured: Review of written materials (e.g., publications, grant submission); Peer reviews of publications/grants; Review and/or observation of presentations; Written feedback from presentations.

Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for Expected: By the end of the program, Fellows will have completed a clinically driven, research-focused project in their specialty area. Fellows will have written and submitted at least two manuscripts to a peer-reviewed journal. Fellows will have drafted and submitted at least one grant proposal (this may do this as part of a team or as the PI). They will have presented their work at a minimum of two conferences, and at the RM MIRECC TTT.

Goal #4: Application

Objective(s) for Goal #4:
Evidence-Based Practice Competencies: A) Independently applies knowledge of evidence-based practice, including empirical bases of assessment, intervention, and other psychological applications, clinical expertise, and client preferences

Assessment Competencies: A) Independently selects and implements multiple methods and means of evaluation in ways that are responsive to and respectful of diverse individuals, couples, families and groups and context; B) Independently understands the strengths and limitations of diagnostic approaches and interpretation of results from multiple measures for diagnosis and treatment planning; C) Independently selects and administers a variety of assessment tools and integrates results to accurately evaluate presenting questions appropriate to the practice site and broad area of practice; D) Utilizes case formulation and diagnosis for intervention planning in the context of human development and diversity; E) Independently and accurately conceptualizes the multiple dimensions of the case based on the results of the assessment; F) Communicates results in written and verbal form clearly, constructively, and accurately in a conceptually appropriate manner

Intervention Competencies: A) Independently plans interventions, case conceptualizations and intervention plans are specific to case and context; B) Displays clinical skills with a wide variety of clients and uses good judgment even in unexpected or difficult situations; C) Implements interventions with fidelity to empirical models and flexibility to adapt where appropriate; D) Independently evaluates treatment progress and modifies planning as indicated, even in the absence of established outcome measures

Consultation Competencies: A) Determines situations that require different role functions and shifts roles accordingly to meet referral needs; B) Demonstrates knowledge of and ability to select appropriate and contextually sensitive means of assessment/data gathering that answers consultation referral question; C) Applies knowledge to provide effective assessment feedback and to articulate appropriate recommendations; D) Applies literature to provide effective consultative services (assessment and intervention) in most routine and some complex cases

| Competencies: Evidence-Based Practice; Assessment; Intervention; and Consultation |
| How Outcomes are Measured: Review of written materials (e.g., test reports, progress notes); Observation (e.g., test administration, assessment feedback, conduct in Consultation service meetings; conduct in group and individual supervision, and didactic seminars); Review of audio tapes. |

Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for Expected Competencies: The minimum threshold for achievement of expected competencies is a rating of 3.0 for each year. Although the required score of 3 on each domain would be the same in years 1 and 2, expected competencies in each year would change, with more being expected of fellows in their second year (see evaluation form). Scores of 1 or 2 would signal the need for further discussion and potential remediation. Skills are commensurate with that of someone with someone in independent practice and advanced knowledge of suicide prevention clinical care and research.

Goal #5: Education

Objective(s) for Goal #5:
Teaching Competencies: A) Demonstrates knowledge of didactic learning strategies and how to accommodate developmental and individual differences; B) Applies teaching methods in multiple settings.
Supervision Competencies: A) Understands the ethical, legal, and contextual issues of the supervisor role; B) Demonstrates knowledge of supervision models and practices; demonstrates knowledge of and effectively addresses limits of competency to supervise; C) Engages in professional reflection about one’s clinical relationships with supervisees, as well as supervisees’ relationships with their clients; and D) Provides effective supervised supervision to less advanced students, peers or other service providers in typical cases appropriate to the service setting

| Competencies: Teaching; Supervision |
| How Outcomes are Measured: Observation (e.g., group psychotherapy); Review and/or observation of presentations; Written feedback from presentations. |
Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for Expected Competencies: **For Item 3 (comfort in interacting and collaborating with experienced colleagues),** the minimum threshold for achievement of expected competency is a rating of 3.0 for each year. Although the required score of 3 on each domain would be the same in years 1 and 2, expected competencies in each year would change, with more being expected of fellows in their second year (see evaluation form). Scores of 1 or 2 would signal the need for further discussion and potential remediation. Skills are commensurate with that of someone in independent practice and advanced knowledge of suicide prevention clinical care and research.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #6: Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective(s) for Goal #6:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdisciplinary Systems Competencies: A) Demonstrates awareness of multiple and differing worldviews, roles professional standards, and contributions across contexts and systems; demonstrates intermediate knowledge of common and distinctive roles of other professionals; B) Demonstrates beginning, basic knowledge of and ability to display the skills that support effective interdisciplinary team functioning; C) Participates in and initiates interdisciplinary collaboration/consultation directed toward shared goals; D) Develops and maintains collaborative relationships over time despite differences Management – Administration Competencies: A) Develops and offers constructive criticism and suggestions regarding management and leadership of organization; B) Participates in management of direct delivery of professional services; responds appropriately in management hierarchy; C) Demonstrates emerging ability to participate in administration of service delivery program and D) Participates in system change and management structure Advocacy Competencies: A) Intervenes with client to promote action on factors impacting development and functioning; and B) Promotes change at the level of institutions, community, or society.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competencies: Interdisciplinary systems; Management – Administration; and Advocacy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How Outcomes are Measured: Review of written materials (e.g., test reports, progress notes, publications); Observation (e.g., test administration, assessment feedback, didactic seminars); Review of audio tapes; Review and/or observation of presentations; Written feedback from presentations. Minimum Thresholds for Achievement for Expected Competencies: **The minimum threshold for achievement of expected competencies is a rating of 3.0 for each year. Although the required score of 3 on each domain would be the same in years 1 and 2, expected competencies in each year would change, with more being expected of fellows in their second year (see evaluation form). Scores of 1 or 2 would signal the need for further discussion and potential remediation. Skills are commensurate with that of someone in independent practice and advanced knowledge of suicide prevention clinical care and research.**
Evaluation Process

Fellow attainment of the program’s training goals is assessed according to competencies outlined above. The Fellow and the Training Director meet at the beginning of year one of the Fellowship to discuss goals and objectives with the aim of identifying areas of professional interest and functioning. The training program evaluation process measures Fellows' progress over the course of the two-year period against specific criteria and person-specific goals. Fellows’ progress is monitored in an ongoing manner and written feedback is provided at six-month intervals. Written feedback from Fellows regarding the program and supervisors is also obtained every six months.

As their skills develop, it is expected that Postdoctoral Fellows will assume increasing levels of responsibility during the Fellowship. It is also expected that supervisors’ involvement will move from a more directive role to a more consultative one. By the end of the Fellowship, Fellows are expected to show substantial gains from their starting place, though it is expected that these starting places will differ between Fellows.

Minimum Levels for Achievement (MLAs) for Expected Competencies: The minimum threshold for achievement of expected competencies is a rating of 3.0 for each year. Although the required score of 3 on each domain would be the same in years 1 and 2, expected competencies in each year would change, with more being expected of fellows in their second year (see evaluation form). Scores of 1 or 2 would signal the need for further discussion and potential remediation. Skills are commensurate with that of someone with someone in independent practice and advanced knowledge of suicide prevention clinical care and research.

Trainee Evaluation Sequence:

1) Beginning of Year One:
   a. Supervision Agreement
   b. MIRECC APA Based Self-Evaluation
   c. Individualized Training Plan (ITP)
   d. Attestation of Minimum Program Requirements

2) Year One Midpoint- 6 months:
   a. MIRECC APA Based Evaluation of Fellow
   b. MIRECC APA Based Self-Evaluation
   c. MIRECC Fellow Evaluation of Supervisor
   d. MIRECC Fellow Evaluation of Fellowship
   d. Individualized Training Plan (ITP)

3) End of Year One:
   a. MIRECC APA Based Evaluation of Fellow
   b. MIRECC APA Based Self-Evaluation
   c. MIRECC Fellow Evaluation of Supervisor
   c. MIRECC Fellow Evaluation of Fellowship

This evaluation process is repeated for Year Two. All evaluations are reviewed by the Training Director. Blank evaluation forms can be found here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Training Program\Advanced Fellowship Psychology\Admin\Blank Forms\Evaluation Forms.
If there has been ongoing dialogue between a supervisor and a Fellow during the evaluation period, the comments made in the evaluation should come as no surprise. Nevertheless, it does happen on occasion that the Fellow objects to comments made in the evaluation report. Negotiation between the supervisor and the Fellow will most often resolve these conflicts, but on occasion the conflict remains unresolved. Should the supervisor be unwilling to change such comments, the Fellow will be asked to sign the evaluation, and indicate that he/she has reviewed the report but is not in agreement with it. The Fellow is then invited to prepare an addendum to the report and to request a review by the Training Director. The Fellow may also request a meeting with the program ombudsman (Aaron Murry-Swank, Ph.D).

In addition to evaluation data gathered throughout the course of the fellowship, we will also gather data after the fellow graduates from the program. In particular, we will gather information within approximately 5 years of graduation. This will gather data regarding current setting of practice, licensure, current research activities, and ratings and feedback of the fellowship experience. This information will be reported in aggregate to APA, as required. In addition, constructive information will be reviewed on a rolling basis and feedback will be integrated into the program to improve current and future fellow experiences.
Educational Details

An educational detail is an authorized training experience which provides direct benefit to VA but is not available at the VA facility or the affiliate in the case of affiliate-sponsored programs and requires the Health Professions Trainee (HPT) to be sent to a non-VA location for this training experience. We sometimes refer to these details as 1/6\textsuperscript{th} rule details – as paid HPTs can rotate no more than 1/6\textsuperscript{th} of their total time in a non-VA rotation.

For the FY2022/23 Academic Year and beyond, all educational details must be approved by OAA. Educational details that will be approved must demonstrate at least one of the following three items:

a. Clear benefit to Veterans
b. Clear benefit to the VA
c. Clear connection with an accreditation requirement that cannot be met at the VA site
Didactic Seminars

VA Advanced Fellowship Program in Mental Illness Research and Treatment Seminar Series
The RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program is encompassed within a larger, national Fellowship Program, the VA Advanced Fellowship Program, which is funded by the VA OAA. The Fellowship Hub site sponsors a series of didactic seminars provided by V-Tel each year. The HUB site is responsible for the scheduling and content of these seminars. Fellows will receive communication from the HUB site about topics, relevant readings/resources, and expectations. Fellows are required to attend at least one of the two parallel V-Tels on the third Wednesday of each month and one other V-Tel of their choice.

The current V-Tel meeting schedule can be found here: \r01echhsm02.r01.med.va.gov\research_data\MIRECC Psych\Training Program\6-Advanced Fellowship Psychology\Didactic Schedules

Advanced Fellows will connect to the national didactics using Microsoft Teams. Teams link and contact information (e.g., telephone) will be generated for each core or parallel didactic.

Grand Rounds (UCD Anschutz Medical Campus, Department of Psychiatry)
Grand Rounds in the Department of Psychiatry is held weekly for one hour at the UCD School of Medicine and involves didactic presentations on a variety of topics pertinent to medical and mental health research and clinical practice. Grand Rounds may be attended in person (on campus) or via teleconference.

RMR VAMC Mental Health Service (MHS) Grand Rounds
Fellows may also participate in monthly Grand Rounds sponsored by the RMR VAMC MHS, whereby staff present on relevant clinical topics, such as clinical evaluation, diagnosis and treatment, multicultural and ethical competencies, and clinical research.

RM MIRECC Summer Diversity Student Weekly Presentations
Fellows will be encouraged to attend weekly summer diversity presentations offered locally. These presentations can be attended in person (at the MIRECC) or via teleconference.

Hub Site Office Hours
Dr. Beaudreau is available via appointment for VA Advanced Fellows consultation. To schedule an appointment e-mail sherry.beaudreau@va.gov.

In addition, Fellows will be offered several didactic experiences offered through myriad agencies (e.g., VA, NIH).
Fellow Exemplar Weekly Schedule

Tuesdays:
1st and 3rd Tuesday of each month: Rocky Mountain MIRECC All Staff Research Meeting (TTT) 1-2pm MT

Wednesdays:
2nd Wednesday of each month: Grant Writing Hub Site VTEL 11-1pm MT
3rd Wednesday of each month: Parallel Hub Site VTEL 11-1pm MT

Thursdays:
Weekly: Suicide Consultation Service Clinical Meeting 10-11am MT
2nd Thursday of each month: RM MIRECC Journal Club 2-3pm MT

Other Required Activities:
- At least one hour of clinical supervision for each ten hours of services provided (minimum 1 hour weekly with clinical supervisor).
- At least one hour of supervision weekly with research supervisor.
- Activities for Clinical Consult Service (including client contact, consultation with other clinicians, patient chart reviews, etc.), and other direct patient services (including facilitating groups, individual psychotherapy).
- Clinical research-related activities, including meeting with participants, grant and manuscript writing, and administrative tasks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday*</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clinical Supervision</td>
<td>Grant and Manuscript Writing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Research Supervision</td>
<td>Weekly Clinical Meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Safety Planning Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Summer Diversity Weekly Didactic</td>
<td>V-Tel Core Didactic Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00</td>
<td></td>
<td>TTT Meeting (1st and 3rd)</td>
<td>Meet with Research Participant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*University of Colorado, School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry Grand Rounds are on Wednesdays at noon
Identifying and Resolving Problems

An important element in the training process is for the Fellows to be fully informed about what is expected of them to successfully complete the Fellowship. We also want them to be fully informed about issues of due process and their avenues for recourse. At the start of the Fellowship, each postdoctoral Fellow is provided with copies of our policies regarding grievance procedures and problematic postdoctoral Fellows performance via this manual.

A range of steps occurs in the process of resolving training issues and other disputes. Informal Fellow-staff discussions provide adequate resolution of most difficulties that arise during the Fellowship training. Less common are problems that arise between the Fellows themselves. Most conflicts are resolved successfully directly between the parties involved. If this step is unsuccessful, Fellows are encouraged to discuss concerns with their clinical or research supervisors who can offer advice, guidance, and assistance or seek consultation with the Training Director, or ombudsman (Aaron Murry-Swank, Ph.D.).

Only when this informal approach has been unsuccessful does the Training Director become formally involved in the resolution of disputes. The Director’s role is initially that of an impartial fact finder, who seeks to hear the differing perspectives and to negotiate a satisfactory resolution. The Director may achieve resolution of issues outside of the Training Committee or she may involve the Training Committee as indicated. The Training Committee may become directly involved or serve a consultative role to the Training Director. Input on issues is sought directly from other Fellows, if appropriate. Per the Grievance Policy and Procedures detailed below, the Fellow can report the grievance to the designated ombudsperson entirely outside of the MIRECC chain of command, Dr. Aaron Murray-Swank. If the situation is severe enough that it cannot be resolved at the level of the Training Committee and/or ombudsman, the Chief of Staff will be consulted.

During the Fellowship, challenges to the Fellows come not only from the Fellowship itself but from their personal lives as well. These challenges sometimes take the form of serious personal health crises and other crises involving family members. We try to accommodate these significant life events and adjust the workload or other expectations on the Fellow accordingly. Fellows receive support from supervisors, the Training Director, and the Training Committee. Additionally, Fellows often provide each other with peer support. Other resources include: The Office of Human Resources, District Counsel (Office of the Attorney General), the Ethics Committee, EEO officers, Employee Health, and an outside Employee Assistance Program. Fellows also have the right to communicate concerns directly with the Colorado State Department of Health (Licensing division), and/or APA.
Problematic Fellow Performance: Policy and Procedures

I. Introduction

It is the purpose of the RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program to foster and support the growth and the development of Fellows during the training year. An attempt is made to create a learning context within which the Fellow can feel safe enough to identify, to examine, and to improve upon all aspects of their professional functioning. Therefore, Fellows are encouraged to ask for, and supervisors are encouraged to give, feedback on a continuous basis. When this process is working, mid- and end-of-year evaluations should, and in fact do, produce no surprises, since a Fellow is aware of their progress on an ongoing basis.

Supervisors should work with Fellows to identify both strengths and problem areas or deficiencies as early in the year as possible so as to be able to develop a plan with the Fellow to remedy the problem(s) and build on the strengths. This goal is promoted through monthly meetings during which supervisors review Fellow performance with other supervisors and members of the Training Committee.

Other measures that are designed to promote development and identify and remedy deficiencies before they become problematic include:

1. A week-long orientation process at the beginning of the training year that includes a meeting with the Training Director to review competency goals and individual goals for the training year.
2. Attention to the Fellow’s individual skill level and training needs, as well as an IPT.
3. Written and verbal communication of specific information about policies and procedures including the Fellowship mission and goals.
4. Written and verbal communication about expectations of trainees, fellowship completion criteria and Fellowship competency goals.
5. Written and verbal communication specific to evaluation procedures.
6. Attention to the supervisee-supervisor relationship.
7. Written and verbal input from Fellows regarding any concerns pertaining to training.
8. Input from supervisory staff in all phases of decision-making processes regarding any performance concerns or proposed remediation.
9. Regular meetings between the Fellows and the Training Director.

Problems in a Fellow’s performance can arise, nevertheless, in the following areas:

1. Failure to demonstrate appropriate skill development.
2. Repeated non-adherence to the rules and regulations of the training program and the VA Medical Center.
3. Violation of APA and/or VHA professional and ethical standards.

II. Definitions of Problems

Problems constitute interference with professional functioning that is reflected in one or more of the following:

1. an inability and/or unwillingness to acquire and integrate professional standards into one’s repertoire of professional behavior;
2. an inability to acquire professional skills in order to reach an acceptable level of competency;
3. an inability to control personal stress and/or excessive emotional reactions which interfere with professional functioning.

A problem is identified when supervisors perceive that a Fellow’s behavior, attitude, or characteristics are disrupting the quality of clinical services; relationships with peers, supervisors, or other staff; or the ability to comply with appropriate standards of professional behavior. Among professionals in training, some problems may arise. A problem is a behavior, attitude, or other characteristic that, while requiring remediation, is neither perceived to be excessive nor very unexpected for professionals in training.

Problems including one or more of the following characteristics are subject to intervention as determined by the Training Director and the Psychology Training Committee:
1. The Fellow does not acknowledge, understand, or address the problem when it is identified.
2. The problem is not merely a reflection of a skill deficit, which can be rectified by academic or didactic training.
3. The quality of services delivered by the Fellow is significantly negatively affected.
4. The problem is not restricted to one area of professional functioning.
5. A disproportionate amount of attention by training personnel is required.
6. The Fellow’s behavior does not change as a function of feedback, remediation, efforts, and/or time.

III. **Policy**

A. It is the policy that Fellows may fail the Fellowship and/or they may be terminated from the program prior to completion. It is expected that these will be highly unusual events. Because the Fellow group may be diverse and because Fellows come to the Fellowship with different skills and abilities, it is expected that Fellows will achieve the required competencies at different rates. Failure and/or termination may occur for any of the following reasons but are not limited to this list:
1. Incompetence to perform typical psychological services in this setting and inability to attain competence during the course of Fellowship;
2. Violation of the ethical standards of psychologists;
3. Failure to meet the minimum standards for either patient contact, didactic training, testing competence, or research practice;
4. Behaviors that are judged as currently unsuitable and that hamper the Fellow’s professional performance;
5. Violation of RMR Medical Center regulations.

B. It is also the policy that the Fellow can invoke their right of appeal as specified in the Procedures and Due Process section of this document.

IV. **Procedures and Due Process**

A. Determination of “Problematic” Status
Whenever a supervisor becomes aware of a Fellow problem area or deficiency that seems not to be resolvable by the usual supervisory support and intervention, it should be called to the attention of the Training Director. The Training Director will gather information regarding this problem including, if appropriate, an initial discussion with the Fellow. The Training Director will then present the situation to a meeting of the Training Committee. A determination will then be made by consensus whether or not to label the Fellow's performance as “problematic,” which implies the possibility of discontinuing the Fellowship. This will be done after a thorough review of the Fellow's work and performance, and one or more meetings with the Fellow to hear their point of view. If such a determination is made, a further decision is made by majority vote of the Training Committee to either (1) construct a remediation plan which, if not successfully completed, would be grounds for termination; or (2) initiate the termination procedure.

The National Hub Site should be informed when “significant problems arise that are not readily resolvable at the Fellowship site, that are recurrent, or that may lead to the institution of due process procedures or an alteration in the Fellow’s program”. This communication will be done in a timely manner and written records will be kept of the communications, and ongoing contact will be maintained until the problem is resolved. The Fellow may request and should receive copies of all formal communications regarding the issue.

B. Remedial Action

Remediation plans can address certain problems. Possible steps for remediation will generally include but are not limited to the following:

1. Increased supervision either with the same supervisor or a different supervisor.
2. Reduction of the Fellow's clinical duties.

The relevant supervisors will report to the Training Director regarding the progress of the problem remediation.

A Fellow whose performance is determined to be “problematic” but potentially modifiable via remedial action will be asked to meet with the Training Director to discuss the concern(s) and to determine the necessary steps to correct it. When a plan for correction has been determined, the Fellow will receive a written explanation of the concern and specifics of the corrective plan. The Fellow will sign this plan in acknowledgement of its receipt. This plan will also specify the time frame for the corrective action and the procedure for determining that the correction has been adequately achieved. If the correction has not been accomplished, either a revised remediation plan will be constructed, or action will be taken to terminate the Fellowship.

A Fellow may accept the corrective plan or challenge it in writing. The written challenge will be reviewed by the Training Committee for a decision. The Fellow may appeal that decision following the appeal process below.

Formal actions that accompany the identification of problematic status include, but are not limited to:

1. Probation: A Fellow who fails to meet or fails to make satisfactory progress toward fulfilling the general expectations of the Fellowship may be placed on probation. While on probation, the Fellow will operate under a remediation plan for a period of time as...
determined by the Training Director and the Training Committee. At the end of that time, the Fellow will be re-evaluated by the Training Director to see if further remediation is needed.

2. Suspension of Clinical Duties: A Fellow who is charged with a violation of the APA Code of Ethics may be temporarily suspended by the Training Director from providing clinical services. Temporary suspension becomes effective immediately upon notification of the Fellow in writing. The notification includes the reason(s) for the suspension. A remediation plan may also be specified along with formal evaluation criteria to determine if the problem has been addressed. Following remediation, the Training Director and the Training Committee will determine if the suspension should be lifted, continued or if other action should be taken.

3. Notification of National Hub Site: In the event of problem status, the Training Director will notify the Hub Site about the nature of the problem and the remediation plan. The Fellow will be asked to sign the notification document and will be able to add a counter statement. A copy of this notification will be provided to the Fellow and placed in the Fellow’s training record file.

4. Termination of the Fellow from the training program.

C. Procedures for Termination and Appeal

1. Termination: The Fellow will be provided an opportunity to present arguments against termination at a special meeting of the Training Committee. Direct participation by the Hub Site shall be sought. If neither a representative from the Hub Site or a suitable delegate is able to attend, arrangement shall be made for conference call communication. The Fellows may also seek additional representation.

2. Appeal: Should the Training Committee recommend termination; the Fellow may invoke the right of appeal to the Chief of Staff as dictated by the Fellow Grievance Procedures. The Medical Center Chief of Staff will review the recommendation of the Training Committee and either support the recommendation, reject it, or re-open the investigation in order to render a decision.
Grievance Policy and Procedures

1. It is the goal of the RM MIRECC Advanced Fellowship Program to provide an environment that creates congenial professional interactions between staff and Fellows that are based on mutual respect; however, it is possible that a situation will arise that leads a Fellow to present a grievance. The following procedures are designed to ensure that a grievance is resolved in a clear, timely and practical manner.

2. Causes for grievance could include, but are not limited to, exploitation, sexual harassment or discrimination, racial harassment or discrimination, religious harassment or discrimination, capricious or otherwise discriminatory treatment, unfair evaluation criteria, and inappropriate or inadequate supervision and training.

3. Causes for grievances should be addressed in the following steps:

   a. The Fellow should make a reasonable effort to resolve the matter with the person(s) with whom the problem exists. This might include discussion with the individual in a dyad or with a sympathetic third person to act as an intermediary. When causes for grievance involve a member of the Training Faculty, the Fellow should notify the Training Director, even if the issue is resolved.

   b. A situation might be too difficult for a Fellow to speak directly to the individual. In that instance, the Training Director should be involved to seek an informal resolution of the matter.

   c. If both the previous two steps above fail to resolve the matter adequately, the Fellow can file a formal written grievance with the Training Director. This grievance should outline the problem and the actions taken to try and resolve it. The Training Director has the responsibility to investigate the grievance. The Training Director will communicate to the Training Committee and will involve the Training Committee in the investigation as warranted. Based upon the findings of the investigation by the Training Director (and Training Committee, if indicated), the Training Director will decide how to resolve the matter. In most instances, this decision will be made in consultation with the Training Committee.

   d. If the grievance is against the Training Director, the Fellow can report the grievance to the designated ombudsperson entirely outside of the MIRECC chain of command, Dr. Aaron Murray-Swank. The ombudsperson will undertake the investigation of the matter and report back to the Training Committee.

   e. If the Fellow is not satisfied with the Training Director’s decision, they are encouraged to speak with the ombudsperson. Additionally, the matter can be appealed to the RMR Medical Center Chief of Staff who will review the complaint and decision and either support the decision, reject it, or re-open the investigation in order to render a decision.
Clinical Tips

Training Videos

A collection of training videos for incoming VA trainees related to navigating the features of various programs such as CPRS, VISTA, and VVC can be found here: https://web.microsoftstream.com/channel/3148a624-bdb8-43e4-b428-975c7a01cd10.
Tests through CPRS: Mental Health Assistant Web

The Mental Health Assistant Web (MHA-Web) is an assessment package that contains a broad variety of instruments. The MHA Web application is a management tool for clinicians to create administrative assignments for patient completion, complete administrations through a Staff Entry interface, and review completed assessment reports. A variety of psychological measures may be administered to patients via CPRS MHA Web.

In a Veteran's CPRS chart, under "Tools" select "Mental Health Clinical Applications," then "Mental Health Assistant Web." When MHA Web opens, click the plus sign (+) to begin a new assessment. This will populate the assessments you can choose from. Once selected, match the provider and clinic location to the appropriate location. Choose “Staff Entry” to load the assessment on your screen to administer together in session. “Patient Entry” allows the Veteran to complete via VA iPad or (in the future) on their personal device, but this method is not currently being used in the RM MIRECC.

In most cases, you will want to pass the generated progress note to the chart using the “save note” feature, but this determination can be made with your supervisor. You can delete or edit that note before you sign it as seems clinically appropriate. Regardless, the test results will still be available through MHA Web. See MHA-Web for a comprehensive list of available instruments. Fellows will not be able to administer all instruments listed in MHA. Some tests require a YSP security key, which means that only approved licensed providers can administer the test.

Learn more at MHA Web Training Resources - Home (sharepoint.com)
Psychiatric Emergency Services (PES)

Psychiatric Emergency Services (PES)
PES should be used when there is a MIRECC research participant, consult patient, or therapy patient who is in a behavioral health crisis. PES provides emergency evaluations for Veterans in a behavioral mental health crisis (SI, HI, grave disability, lack of behavioral control, psychosis)

PES Hours: 7 days a week, including federal holidays, 0700-2300
PES Location: First floor of Clinic Bldg. D Emergency Department
PES number: 720-723-4722 or 720-723-4719

Please review the PES SOP, which can be found here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Administrative\MOD and Emergency SOPs

Mental Health Clinic Same Day Access
Same day access is available to Veterans who are already an established patient or for those who are unenrolled. For enrolled Veterans who are established and needing urgent same day services, it is recommended to contact the clinic where the Veteran is already receiving care. If the Veteran is unenrolled they can be seen for same day services at the location below and receive bridge services until they are enrolled.

Same Day Access Hours: 0830-11:30 & 12:30-15:30
Same Day Access Location: 4th Floor, Bldg. A Mt. Wilson
Same Day Access number: 720-723-7310
Informed Consent

Trainee Disclosure Form
In the first appointment with a patient with whom the Fellow has some form of clinical contact/provides clinical services, the Fellow must provide the patient with the Psychology Trainee Disclosure Form (titled Information Disclosure), noting that the Fellow is a trainee under supervision, and noting the limits to confidentiality.

This form can be found here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Administrative\Trainee Forms. It should be filled out and given to the patient. If this meeting is being conducted via VVC, please offer to mail the form.

In addition, the Progress Note for the initial session should include documentation about this disclosure; the text of this form can be cut and pasted into the Progress Note text that documents the first contact with the patient. Please note that the only exception to this form being given to the patient is when the Fellow participates in a group and is observing, rather than co-facilitating, the group.

Audiotaping Consent
Fellows are required by their psychotherapy supervisors to audiotape sessions for use in supervision. Each client should complete the “Consent for use of picture and/or voice” form located here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Administrative\Trainee Forms.
Release of Information Guidelines and Respecting Patient Confidentiality

A summary of privacy and confidentiality policies is below.

- Talk with your supervisors about the way to express to patients their rights to confidentiality and the limitations thereof, in light of the shared electronic medical record.
- Please make every effort not to discuss patients in public areas such as elevators.
- If you need to access test protocols or measures while teleworking, scan them in and save them securely to the shared drive before leaving the facility.
- “Jump” or “flash” drives are not permitted to be used on any VA computers, for any reason.
- All mail sent through interoffice mail containing protected health information (PHI) must be sent in a sealed envelope marked “CONFIDENTIAL”. Do NOT send PHI documents through the internal mail system without the appropriate protection.
- When communicating by email, only encrypted email may be used to transmit information that includes PHI/PII (Outlook: Options→Encrypt→Encrypt Only/S/MIME)
- MS Outlook Calendars are not HIPPA compliant and therefore should not be used for PHI.
- To share drafts of reports with supervisors, place a password-protected Word document in your folder on the Shared drive in: R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Consult Service\Notes\Post Doc Notes. The supervisor can access the document there after having been provided with your password.
- According to VA policy, you may inform clients that their confidentiality cannot be assured in cases of suspected child abuse/neglect, elder abuse/neglect, or situations in which the client indicates an intent to harm self or someone else.
- Obtaining Release of Information to speak with someone about a patient, when the patient is presumed to have decisional capacity, and does not have a POA or guardian:
  - When a patient has signed the ROI form (R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Administrative\Trainee Forms), it should be copied and the original sent to the Release of Information department.
  - Please see the Release of Information policy (R:\MIRECC Psych\Training Program\6-Advanced Fellowship Psychology\Policies) for additional details about completing and processing the forms.
  - You do not need a release to speak with next-of-kin, family and others with a significant relationship, if you are going to verbally disclose “general information on individuals to the extent necessary and on a need-to-know basis consistent with good medical and/or ethical practices”.
    - If the patient is present: you provide them with an opportunity to object to the disclosure and they do not express an objection; or, it is reasonably inferred from the circumstances, based on the exercise of professional judgment, the individual does not object; AND you document the decision to share information based on good medical and ethical practice
    - If the patient is not present: you may disclose the information without authorization if, when in the exercise of professional judgment, you determine the disclosure is in the best interest of the individual; AND you document the decision to share information based on good medical and ethical practice

- These provisions DO NOT apply to releasing information regarding substance abuse or HIV; or regarding the release of written copies of medical records.
  - You do not need a release to speak with other health care providers, whether VA or non-VA.
If the patient is present: you provide them with an opportunity to object to the disclosure and they do not express an objection; or, it is reasonably inferred from the circumstances, based on the exercise of professional judgment, the individual does not object; AND you document the decision to share information based on good medical and ethical practice

If the patient is not present: you may disclose the information without authorization if, when in the exercise of professional judgment, you determine the disclosure is in the best interest of the individual; AND you document the decision to share information based on good medical and ethical practice

These provisions DO NOT apply to releasing copies of medical records or to releasing information regarding substance abuse or HIV. See previous items for details on these situations.

• Obtaining Release of Information to speak with someone about a patient, when the patient has a Power of Attorney or court-appointed guardian:
  o The POA or guardian must bring in the paperwork pertaining to their authority to act on the patient’s behalf and have it reviewed by the Release of Information department (located in the basement across from the mail room). The ROI department will review the documents to make sure they provide proper authorization and then will notify you whether the person can sign a release on behalf of the patient.
  o Alternatively, if you know the patient’s POA or guardian has been involved with the VA system for some time, the paperwork may be on file with ROI, and you can place a telephone call Release of Information to verify this.

• Obtaining Release of Information to speak with someone about a patient, when the patient is believed to LACK decisional capacity, and does NOT have a POA or guardian:
  o In these situations, a release of information may not be signed by the patient, nor may the release simply be signed by a next of kin. The patient must have a guardian appointed by the courts, which requires a series of legal procedures. The intern should consult with a supervisor in these cases.
  o If the situation is an emergency, then information may be released without written authorization, with proper documentation of the decision-making being very important.

• According to VA policy, you may inform clients that their confidentiality cannot be assured in cases of suspected child abuse/neglect, elder abuse/neglect, or situations in which the client indicates intent to harm self or someone else.

• Reporting of Alleged or Suspected Abuse and Neglect: VA procedures are detailed in the document titled VHA Directive 2012-022 Reporting Abuse and Neglect, which is stored here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Training Program\6-Advanced Fellowship Psychology\Policies.
  o Note: The procedures outlined in the above document address situations of child abuse. The same procedure is followed in situations involving a vulnerable adult/elderly person. ALWAYS DISCUSS CASES WITH SUPERVISORS PRIOR TO PROCEEDING

• Duty to Warn: In the event that a patient communicates a clear intent to harm a specific person, we have a duty to warn the potential victim. After consulting with your supervisor, the general procedure to follow is to attempt to contact the potential victim, along with the appropriate law enforcement authority. Be sure to document in the medical record thoroughly with the facts of the threat, your deliberations and opinions regarding the patient’s truthfulness and likelihood of acting on the threat, and your actions. The Privacy Act requires that after such a release of information is made, notification must be
transmitted to the last known address of the patient, or notification can also be made to the patient in person.

- Where the threat is less than immediately imminent, it is better to advise the appropriate law enforcement authorities and arrange that they will warn the target. Finally, it must be considered whether a 72-hour mental health hold is appropriate for the patient. VA procedures regarding patient safety and risk assessment are located in the following document, "VHA National Patient Safety Improvement Handbook" and can be accessed here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Training Program\6-Advanced Fellowship Psychology\Policies.
# Privacy DOs and DON’Ts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-Mails</th>
<th>Do remind patients that e-mail systems are not secure if patients contact you by e-mail. Request that patients call for information.</th>
<th>Disposal</th>
<th>Do de-identify any documents or other items before disposal in trash. Do shred (or place in shredder disposal boxes) any documents containing PHI.</th>
<th>Do leave a message for the patient to call back for the information. Do verify that phone number is correct.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Don’t</strong> send PHI through Outlook unless it is de-identified or secured in some manner, such as encryption. <strong>Don’t</strong> send VistA or Outlook messages containing PHI outside of VHA.</td>
<td>Minimum Necessary</td>
<td>Always only release the minimum necessary information to suit the request.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message Machines</td>
<td>Do leave a message for the patient to call back for the information. Do verify that phone number is correct.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faxes (see next page for more info)</td>
<td>Do fax PHI only when necessary to provide information in reasonable time. Do verify that fax numbers are correct. Do make certain that faxes containing PHI are not sent to public areas. Do include confidentiality statement on cover sheet in event of error. <strong>Don’t</strong> let received faxes with PHI sit in machines in public areas. <strong>Don’t</strong> fax PHI unless you are certain someone is there to receive the fax. Do use the correct fax cover sheet</td>
<td>Phone Calls</td>
<td>Nurses, physicians and other providers may discuss a patient’s condition over the phone with the patient, a provider, or a family member, if it is in the best interest of the patient. Providers may coordinate care with nursing homes, board and care, community hospitals and other facilities caring for our Veteran patients. Do take reasonable precautions to minimize the chance of disclosures to others nearby.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral Comms.</td>
<td>Do use curtains, cubicles, offices, or other private areas when possible to safeguard discussions. Do speak in a low voice when discussing patient health information in public areas. <strong>Don’t</strong> discuss patient issues with friends, co-workers, or others who do not have a need to know. <strong>Don’t</strong> discuss patient health information in elevators, cafeterias, or other public areas where information cannot be safeguarded.</td>
<td>Facility Directory Opt Out</td>
<td>Do check patient Opt Out preference before providing patient name, location or condition information to visitors and callers, including deliveries and mail. Opt Out preference does not apply to other issues or discussions related to treatment, payment or healthcare operations. <strong>Don’t</strong> disclose any information about an Opted Out patient to anyone including Non-VA clergy, colleagues, family, or friends.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oral Comms.

Nurses, physicians and other providers may discuss a patient’s condition over the phone with the patient, a provider, or a family member, if it is in the best interest of the patient. Providers may coordinate care with nursing homes, board and care, community hospitals and other facilities caring for our Veteran patients. Do take reasonable precautions to minimize the chance of disclosures to others nearby.

Facility Directory Opt Out

Do check patient Opt Out preference before providing patient name, location or condition information to visitors and callers, including deliveries and mail. Opt Out preference does not apply to other issues or discussions related to treatment, payment or healthcare operations. **Don’t** disclose any information about an Opted Out patient to anyone including Non-VA clergy, colleagues, family, or friends.
Scheduling RTCs and VVC Appointments

For more information regarding scheduling RTCs and VVC appointments, please refer to the “MIRECC Scheduling SOP” located at R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Administrative\Scheduling.

CPRS Patient Check-Out / Encounter Form

Note that these procedures are to be followed for general patient progress notes. Fellows providing consultation services for MIRECC’s local suicide risk management consultation service should refer to the specific SOP for entering documentation related to consultation. The SOP can be found here: R:\MIRECC Psych\Clinical\Consult Service\Procedures

Clinical notes should be entered within 24 hours of the clinical encounter.

1. To write a patient note in the CPRS chart, open the patient’s chart and click on the Notes tab at the bottom of the page.

2. Click New Note.

3. You will get a Location for Current Activities dialogue box. Under Clinic Appointments/Visits, click on the appointment for which you saw the patient (which should be listed if it was previously scheduled in VISTA), then click OK. If the appointment was not previously scheduled in VISTA, click New Visit. For Visit Location, type in the correct Clinic. This should be the clinic title for the specific service you provided and will be told to you by your supervisor (e.g., RMR MIRECC IND AM). Make sure the date of the visit is correct (“NOW” will automatically be listed). If you are writing a note for a past visit, click the Historical Visit box and enter the correct date. If you click on the little box to the right of the date listed, a calendar will pop up. You may select the correct date by clicking on it. Then click OK.

4. On the Progress Note Properties page, type in the Progress Note Title (e.g., "MIRECC INDIVIDUAL THERAPY"). Check that the date and time of the note is commensurate with the ending of your appointment. Also, check that the correct co-signer is listed in the drop-down box at the bottom of this dialogue box. Click OK.

5. Now type the body of your note or if you have written content in a separate Word document, paste the content into the body of the note. Your note needs to contain the following:

   This patient was seen by _____ (trainee’s name). Supervision only was provided by ____ (supervisor’s name). At the time of the session, the supervisor was in the room/in the area/available by phone or pager (specify which level per Graduated Levels of Responsibility form). Individual and group supervision were provided on this case in accordance with American Psychological Association accreditation standards, and with the VHA Handbook 1400.4.

6. After writing a patient note in the CPRS chart, you must also complete the Encounter form to check out the appointment.
7. When you finish the note, click on the *Encounter* bar in the lower left-hand corner of the screen (it should appear below the Template and Reminders bars). This will bring up the *Encounter Form* dialogue box.

   a. The box on the center right is regarding Service Connection. If the service you are providing is related to any of the service-connected conditions, which are listed on the Cover Sheet, check “yes” for this box.

   b. On the *Available Providers* tab, type in your supervisor's name, click Add, then click Primary while your supervisor's name is highlighted. The current VA policy is to *always* list your supervisor as the primary provider, even if that supervising practitioner did not personally see the patient or directly provide care. Do not list yourself as a provider.

   c. On the *Diagnoses* tab, you need to select the diagnosis for which you are seeing the patient. If it is listed in the white box on the right side, click it. If not, click the *Other Diagnosis* bar to select a different diagnosis. Type in the diagnosis/diagnoses for which you saw the patient, select it, and check the *Add to Problem List* box. The diagnosis you enter should be the primary reason for which you are seeing the patient. It may be that you are seeing the patient for more than one diagnosis (e.g., both Multiple Sclerosis and Depression). Select as many diagnoses as apply to your visit. The ICD-10 system has a wider range of psychological diagnoses to choose from, and an imperfect mapping to the DSM-5; unfortunately, it does not contain criteria for the diagnoses so there’s some judgment involved. If the person has co-morbid disorders, you will have an opportunity to enter both.

   d. After you’ve selected a diagnosis, move to the *Procedures* tab. The center white box may contain the procedure you conducted. If it does, click this. If not, click the *Other Procedures* bar, enter the code or the description of the service and click Search, then highlight the procedure that appears and click OK. If you delivered this Procedure via VVC, click the box for “Synchronous Telemedicine Service” under *Modifiers*. In the lower right portion of the Procedures page appears *Quantity* with a number box below it. Click the arrows to select the total number of hours spent in the clinical work you conducted with the patient for this Encounter. Note that for psychological assessment, you should enter the time involved in scoring, writing up, and providing feedback to the patient as well as the face-to-face testing time. Select your supervisor as the provider.

8. Click *OK*.

**Telephone Encounters**

All telephone contacts with Veterans or collaterals must be documented in the computerized medical record. Such documentation should be entered using the progress note title, “MIRECC TELEPHONE CONTACT”. If a call occurs that simply arranges an appointment time, and no other clinically relevant data is presented, designate the encounter as a “historical visit.” Otherwise, choose the Telephone Contact Procedure in the “Procedure Section” of the Encounter Form, and identify whether the contact was “brief,” “intermediate,” or “complex.” Consult with your supervisor for additional rotation-specific guidance regarding completion of telephone encounters.

**Progress Note/Encounter Linkage**
All encounters must be supported by documentation and all progress notes MUST be linked to an encounter. User will follow instructions below in order to ensure correct linkage occurs.

**Option #1: Access patient record through “Patient List”**

When user signs into CPRS you will have a default patient list if you set one up.

User will navigate to “notes tab” and select “new note” and select appropriate progress note.

**Option #2: Access patient record by patient name or SSN**

Once in the patient’s record, user will select the location box along the top of the CPRS screen.

**Note:** To set up a Patient List see “Instructions on Customizing Patient List Generation”
The next step is to select encounter provider and look for the scheduled appointment in the “Clinic Appointments” tab, select appropriate appointment and click OK.

*If the appointment does not appear under “Clinic Appointments” clinic support staff should be contacted to schedule an appointment BEFORE a progress note/consult report is started.

After the above steps are followed the progress note created will be linked with scheduled appointment. User can now select “new note” and begin documenting.
Appendix A – Additional Resources

Information regarding VA benefits:

VA website:  www.va.gov

VA Locations:  https://www.va.gov/find-locations

Federal benefits for Veterans and dependents:  http://benefits.va.gov/benefits/

How to apply for VA health care:  https://www.1010ez.med.va.gov/sec/vha/1010ez/

Information about VA services can also be obtained by phone:  1-800-827-1000

Health benefit information can be obtained at:  1-877-222-8387

Information about the military and the Veteran population:

U.S. Military Rank Insignia:  U.S. Military Rank Insignia (defense.gov)


Military Officer Rank Structure:  Military Officer Rank Structure | Military.com

Military Sexual Trauma:  www.mentalhealth.va.gov/msthome

PTSD Screening Instruments:  https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/screens/index.asp
Appendix B – Ethics Links

The following websites have useful information regarding ethics and ethical conduct.


- Bioethics Research Library: http://bioethics.georgetown.edu/
MEDIA CONTACT: Only the Medical Center Director, or the Director’s designee, may speak with the media in reference to VA issues. This includes speaking about your experience as an employee of the medical center. If you are approached by media, please contact the MIRECC Training Director.

USING ONE’S VA POSITION IN PUBLIC FORUMS: Do not use your VA email to make personal or public statements (e.g., writing letters to Congress), or identify yourself as an employee of the VA when making public statements about your personal views.

For further guidance on these issues, please speak with your supervisor, the Training Director, and/or consult the following websites:

Appendix D – Post-Doctoral Residency Program Tables

Date Program Tables are updated: 2/14/2023

Postdoctoral Program Admissions

 Briefly describe in narrative form important information to assist potential applicants in assessing their likely fit with your program. This description must be consistent with the program’s policies on resident selection and practicum and academic preparation requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Match 39 with DD-MIRECC - APA Advanced Fellowship training program and clinical supervisor</th>
<th>Experiences with Scientist-Practitioner framework and evidence-based practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiences with Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion</td>
<td>Experiences to work with Veterans/military personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of research/scholarly productivity within research and clinical settings</td>
<td>Quality recommendation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe any other required minimum criteria used to screen applicants:

Applicants to the Postdoctoral Fellowship must be graduates of an APA-accredited doctoral program in Clinical or Counseling Psychology, and must have completed an APA-accredited internship. Each applicant must also be a U.S. citizen (per VA policy). As an equal opportunity training program, the fellowship program strongly encourages applications from all qualified candidates regardless of racial, ethnic, sexual orientation, or other minority status.

Financial and Other Benefit Support for Upcoming Training Year*

| Annual Stipend/Salary for Full-time Residents | $57,331.00 |
| Annual Stipend/Salary for Half-time Residents | N/A |

Program provides access to medical insurance for resident?  Yes  No

If access to medical insurance is provided:

Trainee contribution to cost required? Yes  No

Coverage of family member(s) available? Yes  No

Coverage of legally married partner available? Yes  No

Coverage of domestic partner available? Yes  No

Hours of Annual Paid Personal Time Off (PTO and/or Vacation) 104 hours

Hours of Annual Paid Sick Leave 104 hours

In the event of medical conditions and/or family needs that require extended leave, does the program allow reasonable unpaid leave to interns/residents in excess of personal time off and sick leave? Yes  No

Other Benefits (please describe)

Fellows are eligible for Federal Employee Health Care Benefits, but are not eligible for Vision, Dental, Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), or paid parental leave benefits.

* Note. Programs are not required by the Commission on Accreditation to provide all benefits listed in this table.
## Initial Post-Residency Positions

(Provide An Aggregated Tally for the Preceding 3 cohorts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2020-2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total # of residents who were in the 3 cohorts</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # of residents who remain in training in the residency program</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>EP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community mental health center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federally qualified health center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent primary care facility/clinic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University counseling center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans Affairs medical center</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military health center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic health center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical center or hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic university/department</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community college or other teaching setting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent research institution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School district/system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent practice setting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently employed</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changed to another field</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. “PD” = Post-doctoral residency position; “EP” = Employed Position. Each individual represented in this table should be counted only one time. For former trainees working in more than one setting, select the setting that represents their primary position.